INTHEUNITEDSTATESDISTRICTCOURT FORTHEEASTERNDISTRICTOFPENNSYLVANIA

UNITEDSTATESOFAMERICA

v. : CriminalNo.97-112

.

JESSEA.ROBINSON

MEMORANDUM

McGlynn,J. September23,1997

BeforethiscourtisdefendantJesseA.Robinson's Motionto Reconsider Restitution Order. For the reasons that follow, defendant's motion is denied.

I. Background

OnAugust5,1997,thiscourtconvicteddefendantRobinsonoftheftofgovernment goodsandpossessionofgoodsstolenfromaninterstateshipment.Defendantstolefivecartons ofunreleased,first-classpostagestampsworth\$144,000fromarailroadcargocarrierinviolation of18U.S.C.\\$\\$641and659.Ofthe\\$144,000worthofstampsstolen,only\\$32,000worthof stampswererecovered.Asaresult,thecourtsentenceddefendantto33monthsincarceration andorderedhimtopayrestitutionintheamountof\\$112,000.Paymentofthisrestitutionary obligationistocommenceupondefendant'sreleasefromprison.

On August 6,1997, defendant filed a motion requesting that this court reduce the amount of monthly restitution payments from \$50 permonth to a namount not exceeding \$10 permonth. Defendant based this request upon his indigence and his alleged future in a bility to pay restitution resulting from his present substance abuse problem. See Defendants Motion to Reconsider

Restitution,at1,2.Inlightofhiseconomicstatus,defendantcontendsthatthecourt'sorderof \$50permonthdoesnotfallunderthestatutorylanguage"nominalperiodicpayments"inTitle18 U.S.C.§3664(f)(3)(B). ¹

II. Discussion

Inthepresent case, this court acted within its discretion in authorizing restitution payments by defendant of \$50 permonth. 18 U.S.C. \$3663 A(c)(1)(A)(ii)(1994). "The court "shall or derrestitution to each victim in the full amount of each victim's loss esas determined by the court and without consideration of the economic circumstances of the defendant." 18U.S.C. \$3664(f)(1)(A)(1994). Since the government has not recovered the remaining \$112,000 worth of stolen stamps nor has the defendant cooperated in their return, the court required defendant to pay restitution in the full amount of \$112,000.

Beforeorderingascheduleforrestitution, asentencing court must consider three factors:
"(A) the financial resources and other assets of the defendant, including whether any of these assets are jointly controlled; (B) projected earnings and other income of the defendant; and (C) any financial obligations of the defendant; including obligations to dependants. "18U.S.C. § 3664(f)(2)(1994). In addition, a district court must make specific factual findings concerning a defendant's ability to payrestitution. <u>United Statesy. Pollak</u>, 844F.2d145(3dCir.1988).

Specifically, the "district judge must point to evidence in the record supporting the calculation of loss to the victims." <u>United Statesy. Copple</u>, 74F.3d479, 482(3dCir.1996) (citing <u>United Statesy. Copple</u>)

¹Thissectionstatesthat: "[A]restitutionordermaydirectthedefendanttomakenominal periodicpaymentsifthecourtfindsfromthefactsontherecordthattheeconomiccircumstances ofthedefendantdonotallowforthepaymentofanyamountofarestitutionorder, and do not allowforthepaymentofthefullamountofarestitutionorderintheforeseeablefutureunderany reasonablescheduleofpayments." 18U.S.C.§3664(f)(3)(B)(1994).

<u>Statesv.Copple</u> ,24F.3d535,549-50(3dCir.), <u>cert.denied</u> 513U.S.989(1994)).Whilethe governmenthastheburdenofproving,byapreponderanceoftheevidence,thetotalamount of losssustained by the victim, it is the defendant who has the burden of demonstrating, by a preponderance of the evidence, his financial means and resources. <u>United Statesv. Cannistrato</u> , 871F.2d1210,1214(3dCir.1989).

A. Defendant's Financial Resources and Future Financial Status

AccordingtothePresentenceReport,defendanthasnoassets,debtorincomeandno credithistory. SeeRobinsonPresentenceReport,at11.Defendantclaimsthe\$50permonthis tooexcessivebecause:(1)hepresentlycannotpaytheamountnorwouldhebeabletopaythe restitutioninthefuture;(2)hissubstanceabuseproblemwilldetractfromdefendant'sabilityto payrestitution;and(3)heisindigent. SeeDefendant'sMotiontoReconsiderRestitution,at1. Defendant,however,hasastatutoryburdenofdemonstratinghisfinancialconditionandneedsto thecourt. See18U.S.C.\$3664(d)(1994).

DefendantallegesthatheishomelessandlivesonthestreetsofPhiladelphia. <u>Id.</u>Thus, when calculating defendant's financial resources, this court must consider defendant's present in digence. According to the Third Circuit, "indigence at sentencing is not a bartoor dering a defendant to pay restitution in the future." <u>United Statesy. Logar</u>, 975F. 2d958, 962 (3d Cir. 1992). The court reasoned that a defendant's indigence is not determinative in calculating a restitution or derbecause the indigence may only be temporary. <u>United Statesy. Carrara</u>, 49F. 3d 105, 109 (3d Cir. 1995). Therefore, defendant's present indigence does not shelter defendant from paying restitution.

Additionally, defendantalleges that his substance abuse addiction will have an impact on

ahisfuturefinancialstatus.Defendanthaselevenpriorconvictionsandalengthylistofprior offenses. SeeRobinsonPresentenceReport,at4-9.However,uponhisreleasefromprison,this courthasordereddefendanttoparticipateinadrugandalcoholtestingandtreatmentprogram.

SeeJudgment, Aug.6,1997,at4.Further,ifdefendantisnotgainfullyemployedorenrolledina fulltimevocationaloreducationalprogramduringhisprobation,hemustperformtwentyhours ofcommunityserviceperweekasdirectedbyhisprobationofficer.

Id.Theseprogramswill assistthedefendantinremainingdrug-free,outofprison,andconsequently,providedefendant withthechancetoobtainandretainemployment.

Further, defendant is forty years of a gean dhase arnedahigh school diploma. Both the record and defendant's motion are devoid of any evidence of a physical or mental disability that would preclude defendant from obtaining employment. Not only does defend ant possesses the ability towork, but he also has employment experience in the past working at a dairy plant, a plastic bag factory and a satruck driver. See Psychiatric and Substance Abuse Evaluation in Defendant's Sentencing Memorandum, at 2. Further, at defendant's Sentencing Hearing, his Probation Officer noted that the defendant would earn money while in carcerated for 33 months under the Inmate Responsibility Program. Thus, in the future, defendant will be able to pay a portion of his restitution ary obligation over a period of supervised release.

Moreover, the amount of \$50 permonth will average approximately \$12.50 perweek, equaling only \$600 peryear, and payment will not commence until the defendant is released from prison. According to the Third Circuit, "the sentencing court should ground the amount of

²TheInmateFinancialResponsibilityProgramalignsinmateswithprisonstafftodevelop afinancialresponsibilityplantomeettheinmate'scourt-orderedfinancialobligations. <u>Jamesv. Quinlan</u>,866F.2d627,628(3dCir.1989).

restitutionorderedonrealisticprospectsthatthedefendantwillbeabletopayit,andnoton fantasticoroverlyspeculativepossibilities." Copple,74F.3dat484(citing UnitedStatesv. Hallman,23F.3d821,827(3dCir.), cert.denied_513U.S.881(1994)).Defendant'sorderisnot animpossibleorderofrestitution,butratheranamountthatdefendantcanrealisticallypay consideringhisphysicalandmentalhealth,education,employmentskillsandfinancialability.

B. Defendant's Financial Obligations

Defendant's financial obligation to any dependants is another important factor in the restitution calculation. Here, defendant is not married, but he is the father of an eighteen-year old daughter. However, because defendant's daughter has reached the age of majority and because defendant has never financially supported his daughter, defendant does not owe any financial obligations to his daughter. This reasoning also supports defendant's ability to pay the nominal \$50 restitution permonthor dered by this court.

II. Conclusion

Therefore, this court will deny defendant's Motion to Reconsider Restitution Order.